



# Data analysis considerations for BIFROST and CSPEC

**Gregory S. Tucker**

DMSC

2023-04-25 Spectroscopy STAP

# Data analysis considerations for BIFROST and CSPEC

**Gregory S. Tucker**

DMSC

2023-04-25 Spectroscopy STAP

## Overview

- Recapitulation
- Plans


## Since the last STAP: setting up BIFROST McStas simulations

### Motivation

- BIFROST is the first CAMEA Time-of-Flight spectrometer
- Need realistic data to test workflows
  - data transformation
  - instrument calibration
- Faithful simulations could produce a *digital twin*
- Secondary spectrometer has 45 analyzer-detector *pairs*, 9 each for 5 final energies
  - 3 variants for each energy: short, medium, long


## 45 triplet tube $^3\text{He}$ detectors with anode wires in series

Three detectors connected in series to form a *triplet*.



## 45 Rowland geometry 7- or 9-blade focusing analyzers


Pyrolytic graphite crystals are arrayed on the surface of a cylinder along with the sample center and triplet center.



Classic McStas components would require 135 Monitor and 369 Monochromator components.

## Data collection via readout chain

Data from the instrument is of the form `(A, B, TUBEid, FENid, RINGid, EventTime, PulseTime)`.



The Event Formation Unit converts this to `(DetectorIndex, EventDeltaTime, PulseTime)` where the index identifies a logical pixel in one of the continuous position sensitive tubes.

## Prior McStas Models of BIFROST


- A definitive primary spectrometer
  - 1675 line instrument file
  - includes choppers and guides from source to sample
- Various partial implementations for the secondary spectrometer in McStas v2
  1. 5942 lines, implementing 5 analyzer-detector pairs over 9 instrument files
  2. 6105 lines, implemented over 3 instrument files

The earlier secondary spectrometer simulations:

- Did not simulate the Readout Master data
- Used 2-D PSD or three 1-D PSD monitors in place of the triplet detectors
- Could not simulate the entire backend simultaneously
- Are complicated to read through or modify due to their length


## Rowland Geometry monochromator component

- Each analyzer is one component instead of 7 or 9 individual blades
- Calculates Rowland circle from own position and *source* and *focus* component names
- Places  $N$  equivalent crystals and optionally adjusts orientation for focusing




## Detector\_tubes monitor component

- One or more cylindrical  $^3\text{He}$  detector tube in series or parallel
- Simulates detector physics and readout electronics, producing `(A, B, EventTime)` per weighted neutron
- Parameters control
  - position, size and orientation of each tube
  - per-tube wire resistivities
  - inter-tube resistor values
  - contact resistances
  - reduced response end-tube regions




## Readout Chain

- Readout Chain logic in instrument file
  - Extending components allows appending (TUBEid, FENid, RINGid) to detected weighted neutrons
- New Readout Master component
  - Collects weighted neutrons and uses Poisson distribution to produce events
  - Collates network packets in ESS format
  - Sends full packets to an Event Formation Unit
  - Optionally, starts and stops a local EFU to enable `mcrun` based instrument parameter scans



## Python-McStas BIFROST spectrometer



- Positions and orientations from calibration
- Uses (modified) McStasScript to insert custom components into a McStas v3 instrument


## Progress

- Simulations with one analyzer-detector pair and a moveable slit
- Full instrument simulations, including a McStasScript primary spectrometer
- Simulations can run under MPI for parallelism and can use MCPL to increase data-packet rates

## Available on GitHub


Component	under <a href="https://github.com/g5t">https://github.com/g5t</a>
Rowland analyzer	<a href="#">mcstas-monochromator-rowland</a>
Multiple <sup>3</sup> He detector	<a href="#">mcstas-detector-tubes</a>
ESS readout master	<a href="#">mcstas-readout-master</a>
BIFROST & CSPEC models	<a href="#">instrument-components</a>





- The Event Formation Unit will only retain a subset of  $x$  from  $A$  and  $B$ 
  - Is it sufficient to check diagnostic information only intermittently?
- Charge-division position from  $\frac{A}{A+B}$  or  $\frac{A-B}{A+B}$ 
  - How should we calculate position?

# Data reduction plans



Output of histogram or events to useful file formats:

type	format	defined by
histogram	NXspe	NeXus
	SQW	Horace
	HDF5	MJOLNIR
event	<i>small text</i>	
	HDF5	scipp
	MDWorkspace	Mantid

*whole-experiment format*

Should we support any additional formats?

## Data analysis plans

Aim to support users to make use of software including DAVE, PACE, Mantid, *LAMP*

<b>area</b>	<b>software</b>
powder	MSlice, OCLIMAX
single-crystal	Horace, SHIVER, MJOLNIR
QENS	<i>interface to QENS Model Library, STRfit</i>

*Is this list appropriate?*

## First science ideas


*First Science* experiments should produce

- data which is *simple* to transform
- transformed data should be *simple* to analyse
- → no known-complications & methods are defined *before* the experiment

General ideas, to be refined:

- Low-dimensional systems
- Existing measurements with insufficient resolution to answer key questions?
- Machine learning problems; similar to [K T Butler et al J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 194006 \(2021\)](#) or [A Samarakoon et al Commun. Mater. 3, 84 \(2022\)](#) but trained in anticipation of experiment results?

## Short-term goals



- Produce NeXus file(s) from the full simulation through the full readout-chain
- Simulate a BIFROST experiment
- Develop and test the data transformation workflow

Questions?