

Document Template

Document Number Chess Core Template

Date 2012-jul-11
Revision 0 (5)
State Released

MCAG TG2 Checklist for Instrument Project FREIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Int	troduction	3
1	.1	Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations	3
2.	Pu	rpose	3
3.	Gra	ading system	4
		view of FREIA	
4	.1	Technical Feasibility - Table of Motion	5
		Technical Feasibility - Special Purpose Motion Control	
4		Budget	6
4	.4	Schedule	7
4	.5	Risk analysis	8
4		Other Check Items	8
5.	Coı	nclusions and RecommendationsError! Bookmark not defin	ed.

1. INTRODUCTION

MCAG are required to co-ordinate, manage and ensure integration of motion components into the ESS facility control system. The nature of the ESS project, with various in-kind partners providing complete instruments, provides many challenges in regards to integration and standardisation of components. It is imperative for the maintenance of the facility, that systems are designed and engineered in a consistent manner, therefore MCAG is always working to align the work of MCA or electrical engineering support teams across ESS partners.

1.1 Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
EPICS	Experimental Physics and Industrial Control System	A set of software tools for creating distributed control systems
ICS	Integrated Control Systems	ESS division responsible for middle level control infrastructure
IOC	Input Output Controller	EPICS "server" software that stores parameters and allows sharing of these between different IOCs over a network
MCA	Motion Control & Automation	Instrument Technology for the low level motion control of mechanical components
MCAG	Motion Control & Automation Group	ESS group responsible for MCA
MCU	Motion Control Unit	Electrical hardware that received commands from an EPICS IOC and creates trajectories and electrical signals to send to the motors.
TG	Toll Gate	Each Instrument Project must pass a Toll Gate checkpoint to progress to the next Phase of the project.

2. PURPOSE

Phase 1 for each ESS Instrument Project is a planning phase for the subsequent phases 2 - 6. During Phase 1 each instrument should decide what is going to be built, formulate the associated budget and identify resources needed to build it. This document is a review, completed by ESS MCAG, of the Preliminary Design (Phase 1) work performed by instrument teams and their MCA support teams and forms part of the Tollgate 2 assessment. In order to proceed till the next phase a project must receive an overall approval.

Only motion control and automation aspects of the instrument design have been considered, except where it is considered they will significantly influence or interact with the motion control and automation system. The information required in the following sections should be included in the Instrument Team's documentation submitted for the TG2 review.

Although the instruments teams and the partners MCA support teams are in principle free in choosing the form to collect the appropriated information for TG2 documentation, ESS MCAG strongly recommends to follow the order and definitions given in ESS-0049514. They will match with the review criteria below and ensure enough information is available for ESS MCAG to smoothly review the instrument project for TG2.

3. GRADING SYSTEM

The assessment consists of a simple traffic light grading system. A number of criteria or subcategories are considered and given an individual grading; which will then form an overall grade for the complete MCA aspects of the project. The following sections aim to reduce the subjectivity of the assessment. It will list the specific tasks that MCAG feel should be completed during Phase 1. The traffic light colours have the following interpretations:



GREEN: All aspects of the criterion in question have been addressed satisfactorily to permit endorsement by the MCAG to the detailed design phase.



ORANGE: Some aspects of the criterion in question have not been addressed satisfactorily. However, if additional information is supplied, MCAG endorsement of the instrument to the detailed design phase may be possible.



RED: Some aspects of the criterion in question are in serious doubt. Additional information and serious consideration by the NSS management is necessary to continue commencement to the detailed design phase



WHITE: Not applicable

Each of the following criteria will be assessed and given and traffic light colour. The criteria that will be assessed are summarised at the end of the document in table form and consist of the following:

- **Technical Feasibility:** The technical feasibility of the proposal will primarily stem from the Table of Motion for the generic motion control axes but also from the description of the special purpose motion solutions (if any).
- **Budget Completeness:** The budget will be checked to ensure that nothing has been omitted. For this reason, it is important to present the budget (at least to MCAG) so that it is broken down to an adequate level to allow this.
- **Schedule:** Schedule will be most important in projects where development is required. The schedule of the whole project will be considered and if there are unrealistic timelines MCAG will flag this.
- **Risks Analysis:** A risk analysis should be conducted to where deemed necessary.

4. REVIEW OF FREIA

4.1 Technical Feasibility - Table of Motion

The MCA Table of Motion is an important component of the planning for Phase 1. The Table (in the form of an Excel spread sheet and supplied as template by ESS MCAG) must be completed as accurately as possible. Refer to section 4.2.1 of *ESS-0049514* for full details on how to complete the "Table of Motion", definition of parameters etc.

All sections of ESS MCA Table of Motion Excel spread sheet have been completed for each axis.	
All safety shutters have been included in the table as an axis.	
Other pneumatic actuators have been included in the table (if applicable)	
Special environmental conditions have been identified for each axis (if applicable)	
Special relationship between axes (gear ratio, synchronisation etc.) have been identified (if applicable)	
Similar or identical multiple axes have been identified (if applicable)	

Table 1 Checklist for FREIA

Comments:

• For tollgate 2 we've got new and updated table of motion that has more axis then the first versions. Heavy shutter is included as one of the axis in the table of motion.

4.2 Technical Feasibility - Special Purpose Motion Control

In some circumstances, a special purpose control solution may be desired over the generic motion control solution. Instead of completing the Table of Motion a more detailed description of the technical solution is required. Refer to section 4.2.2 of *ESS-0049514* "Special Purpose MC".

Justification is provided stating why the special purpose motion is necessary or desired.	
The proposed special purpose motion solution has been described in adequate technical detail including interfaces to other technical systems.	

Document Template

Document Number Chess Core Template

Date 2012-jul-11

At least one alternative has been proposed and reason is given as to why this not as desirable.	
A proposal how to integrate the control system into EPICS has been given.	

Table 2 Checklist for FREIA

Comments:

- ISIS submitted document for the fast slits development for FREIA, where they described
 the fast slits system that will use a large number of piezo actuators, which MCAG
 considers to be special purpose motion control. They plan to test this concept by the end
 of May 2018. I was also given two additional documents on development and
 requirements specification for the fast slits.
- EPICS integration has not been considered. Proposal or the general idea how the control system should be integrated to EPICS should be given.

4.3 Budget

A budget must be provided as part of the TG2 submission for the Instrument Project. MCAG will assess this budget with regards to motion and automation in particular making sure the following points are satisfied. Refer to section 4.3 of *ESS-0049514* "Tasks List for Phase 1 - Budget" for additional information on how to form the budget, what should be included, definitions etc.

Instrument budget for MCA is broken down into the three MCAG deliverables: Generic Motion, Special Purpose Motion Control and Electronics and Control Racks.	
Figures are given for labour and non-labour for each of the three deliverables.	
Each of the figures is broken down in a similar manner to that described section 4.3.3 of <i>ESS-0049514</i> .	
Special purpose motion control (if any) e.g. robots, hexapod, piezo motors control have been identified and included in the budget.	
Sufficient budget is allocated for electrical drawings.	
An estimate for the number of electrical cabinets and/or racks is given for budget purposes.	

Date 2012-jul-11

Instruments components that require a SAT/FAT have been identified and included in budget (either MCA specific or Instrument budget).	
All development costs for motion control (if any) been included in the budget.	

Table 3 Checklist for FREIA

Comments:

- Budget figures are not broken down according to ESS-0049514, apart from that all other MCA relevant budget figures are included in the budget plan.
- Special purpose motion control has been identified but not included in the budget according to FREIA TG2 costing spreadsheet that was submitted for TG2 review. I have asked for the explanation on why the fast slits are not included in the budget. ISIS engineer that works on this project has made the cost calculations for the fast slits development project.

4.4 Schedule

A schedule as described in section 4.3.7 of *ESS-0049514* "Schedule" should be included in the documentation if applicable. MCAG will assess the documents and flag any unrealistic timelines according to the next criteria.

Sufficient information exists in the Toll Gate 2 instrument documents for the schedule of the MCA work units.	0
Milestones are identified throughout all stages of the project in regards to MCA.	\bigcirc
Important schedule links between MCA work units and other parts of the instrument projects are identified.	
A schedule for a development work unit (if any) has been included in the documents.	

Table 4 Checklist for FREIA

Comments:

- Fast slits and blockers need development work and it is planned that several models will be tested on the test rig by the end of May 2018, according to submitted document FREIA fast slit project proposal and the project report will be submitted in June 2018.
- Overall instrument schedule is not detailed enough to extract MCA information.

Date 2012-jul-11

 MCAG expect the link between development project and instruments design in the future, and the schedule will change according to that.

4.5 Risk analysis

Refer to section 4.4 "Risk Analysis" of *ESS-0049514* for complete guidelines on what should be considered for a risk analysis.

Axes that may be difficult to implement with the generic solution have been identified e.g. high speeds/accuracy/repeatability/stability/demanding environment.	
Technical risk analysis of special purpose motion has been performed and the risks and mitigations identified.	
All moderate technical risks (if any) are addressed or an alternate solution stated.	0

Table 5 Checklist for FREIA

Comments:

• ISIS is aware of the potential risk for fast slits and they have suggested mitigation.

4.6 Other Check Items

Each instrument project is different, for this reason sometimes more information will be required than that which is listed in the previous sections. Some of the things that MCAG may require further information and will check in the review include:

Information on any special shutters e.g. where they need to act as a dual device for safety and beam conditioning, or if they need some kind of special control or synchronisation.	
Information on axes that may be linked to choppers e.g. if a chopper is mounted to a motion stage and should in and out of the beam.	
Any special maintenance that may be required during operations period.	\bigcirc
Procurement strategy for any long lead-time components.	\bigcirc
Potential for training for personnel at ESS.	\bigcirc

Document Template

Document Number Chess Core Template

Date 2012-jul-11

Identify resources available for EPICS integration for motion control.	
Plan for production and delivery of E-Plan electrical schematics.	

Table 6 Checklist for FREIA

FREIA is given a green light for the overall proposal with regards to MCA aspects.

Category of Criteria	Grade
Technical Feasibility	
Budget completeness	
Schedule	
Risk Analysis	
Other Items	
Overall	

Table 7 Grading for FREIA

Assessment performed by Kristina Jurišić on behalf of MCAG.

Date: January 18th, 2018